

SECRET

PSD/NPIC-44-64  
10 June 1964

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director, NPIC *ch*

SUBJECT: Center Organization

1. In response to your questions posed last week regarding our opinion of the PAG Operation, we in P.S.D. think that the set-up has worked extremely well. We have never encountered any problems that a phone call or a five minute face to face discussion could not solve. Of course, we like to believe that the main reasons behind these good relations are the competence and maturity of the people in P.A.G. and P.S.D..

2. I think the soundness of the Center's structure has been proven over the past few months when we took on the Hamlet, [ ] Lazy Daisy, Traffic Analysis, Milob, [ ] projects and turned out a tremendous volume of finished products without affecting the amount of "regular" work produced. As I recall, at the time of our Manpower Survey, the only one of these special projects we envisaged was the Hamlet's study and we certainly had no idea of the scope of the task facing us in South East, Asia; we still don't as a matter of fact.

✓ 3. I do have a feeling, that while we have a strong individual as the Asst. for Photo Analysis, there has been a conflict of interest in his capacity as DIA Rep., Chief of PAG and Asst. for Photo Analysis. I am sure some projects of a departmental nature have been worked through the mechanics of PAG because of pressure exerted by DIA on the individual. I strongly suggest that every effort be made to insure that the new incumbent of this position leave his DOD hat at home, thus he can act solely on the basis of national interest without pressure from his parent organization.

4. Regarding your question on additional control or supervision from outside the Division, we do not feel any need for it. Our Branch Chiefs stay in close contact with National Projects by attending the weekly scheduling meetings in PAG and with the PID people as needed on CIA Departmental Projects. We have found that the PT's, over the last year, have become increasingly reasonable about allowing us enough lead time to prepare their photography to be used on detailed reports. The crash projects

50X1  
50X1

SECRET

Page 2  
10 June 1964

SUBJECT: Center Organization

are next to impossible to anticipate and we have found that a middle-man in crash situations has a tendency to muddy the procedure; we would much rather deal directly with the people in charge in the Analysis Areas. We do feel however, that there is a definite need for a central control for requirements and manpower and that the Program Analysis should become invaluable to the Center's top management in determining future courses of action.

5. A "quick and dirty" analysis of our manpower expenditures for the period 5 January 1964 through 30 May 1964 reveals the following.

	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Percentage of Total</u>
National Projects (incl. NRC)	55412.3	51.5
Departmental Projects <u>/1</u> (PI incl.)	23674.1	21.9
Other Support - Non P.I.	6214.9	5.9
NPIC - In House	3765.0	
CIA	754.4	
DIA	365.5	
USIB - COMOR	577.9	
NRO	135.6	
ARMY DET (NPIC)	271.0	
<u>TOTAL</u>	6214.9	
Admin.	9367.9	8.6
Other <u>/2</u>	5246.7	4.8
Leave	7968.0	7.3
		<u>100%</u>

Chief // Production Services Division,  
NPIC

/1 - Includes CIA, DIA and Army.

/2 - Includes Maintenance, Briefings, Training, etc.